arXiv:1511.02848v2 [math.AP] 5 Jan 2016

Global weak solutions for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation

Xi Tu[1*] and Zhaoyang Yin[1,2†]
[1]Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University,
Guangzhou, 510275, China
[2]Faculty of Information Technology,
Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China

Abstract

In this paper we mainly investigate the Cauchy problem of a generalized Camassa-Holm equation. First by the relationship between the Degasperis-Procesi equation and the generalized Camassa-Holm equation, we obtain two global existence results and two blow-up results. Then, we prove the existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions under some certain sign condition.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q53, 35A01, 35B44, 35B65.

Keywords: A generalized Camassa-Holm equation; Global existence; Blow up; Global weak solution.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **3**

3 Global existence and blow-up phenomena **4**

4 Global weak solutions **5**

References **18**

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem for the following generalized Camassa-Holm equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{txx} = \partial_x(2+\partial_x)[(2-\partial_x)u]^2, & t>0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

*E-mail: tuxi@mail2.sysu.edu.cn
†E-mail: mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Note that $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|}$ and $G(x) \star f = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} f$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $G \star m = u$. Then we can rewrite (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - 4uu_x = -u_x^2 + G * [\partial_x(2u_x^2 + 6u^2) + u_x^2], \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The equation (1.1) was proposed recently by Novikov in [42]. He showed that the equation (1.1) is integrable by using as definition of integrability the existence of an infinite hierarchy of quasi-local higher symmetries [42] and it belongs to the following class [42]:

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)u_t = F(u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}), \tag{1.3}$$

which has attracted much interest, particularly in the possible integrable members of (1.3).

The most celebrated integrable member of (1.3) is the well-known Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [4]:

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)u_t = 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx}. \tag{1.4}$$

The CH equation can be regarded as a shallow water wave equation [4, 15]. It is completely integrable. That means that the system can be transformed into a linear flow at constant speed in suitable action-angle variables (in the sense of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems), for a large class of initial data [4, 7, 16]. It also has a bi-Hamiltonian structure [6, 28], and admits exact peaked solitons of the form $ce^{-|x-ct|}$ with $c > 0$, which are orbitally stable [19]. It is worth mentioning that the peaked solitons present the characteristic for the traveling water waves of greatest height and largest amplitude and arise as solutions to the free-boundary problem for incompressible Euler equations over a flat bed, cf. [5, 9, 13, 14, 44].

The local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the CH equation in Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces was discussed in [10, 11, 20, 21, 43]. It was shown that there exist global strong solutions to the CH equation [8, 10, 11] and finite time blow-up strong solutions to the CH equation [8, 10, 11, 12]. The existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions to the CH equation were proved in [17, 51]. The global conservative and dissipative solutions of CH equation were investigated in [2, 3].

The second celebrated integrable member of (1.3) is the famous Degasperis-Procesi (DP) equation [23]:

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)u_t = 4uu_x - 3u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx}. \tag{1.5}$$

The DP equation can be regarded as a model for nonlinear shallow water dynamics and its asymptotic accuracy is the same as for the CH shallow water equation [24]. The DP equation is integrable and has a bi-Hamiltonian structure [22]. An inverse scattering approach for the DP equation was presented in [18, 38]. Its traveling wave solutions was investigated in [34, 47].

The local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of the DP equation in Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces was established in [30, 31, 54]. Similar to the CH equation, the DP equation has also global strong solutions [35, 55, 57] and finite time blow-up solutions [26, 27, 35, 36, 54, 55, 56, 57]. It also has global weak solutions [1, 26, 56, 57].

Although the DP equation is similar to the CH equation in several aspects, these two equations are truly different. One of the novel features of the DP different from the CH equation is that it has

not only peakon solutions [22] and periodic peakon solutions [56], but also shock peakons [37] and the periodic shock waves [27].

The third celebrated integrable member of (1.3) is the known Novikov equation [42]:

$$(1-\partial_x^2)u_t = 3uu_xu_{xx} + u^2u_{xxx} - 4u^2u_x. \tag{1.6}$$

The most difference between the Novikov equation and the CH and DP equations is that the former one has cubic nonlinearity and the latter ones have quadratic nonlinearity.

It was showed that the Novikov equation is integrable, possesses a bi-Hamiltonian structure, and admits exact peakon solutions $u(t,x) = \pm\sqrt{c}e^{|x-ct|}$ with $c > 0$ [32].

The local well-posedness for the Novikov equation in Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces was studied in [49, 50, 52, 53]. The global existence of strong solutions under some sign conditions were established in [49] and the blow-up phenomena of the strong solutions were shown in [53]. The global weak solutions for the Novikov equation were studied in [33, 48].

Recently, the Cauchy problem of (1.1) in the Besov spaces $B^s_{p,r},\ s > max\{2+\frac{1}{p},\ \frac{5}{2}\},\ 1 \le p,q \le \infty$ and the critical Besov space $B^{\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}$ has been studied in [45, 46]. To our best knowledge, the global solution of (1.1) has not been studied yet. In this paper we first investigate the global solution of (1.2) with initial data in the Sobolev space $H^s,\ s > \frac{5}{2}$ and two new blow-up results. The main idea is based on the global solution of DP equation with initial data in the Sobolev space $H^s,\ s > \frac{3}{2}$. Then, we prove the existence and uniqueness of global weak solution by using the method of approximation of smooth solutions and a regularization technique.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some preliminaries which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3 we show global existences and blow-up phenomena. Section 4 is devoted to the study of global weak solutions of (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

Theorem 2.1. *[45] Let $1 \le p,\ r \le \infty,\ s > \max\{2+\frac{1}{p}, \frac{5}{2}\}$, and $u_0 \in B^s_{p,r}$. Then there exists some $T > 0$, such that (1.2) has a unique solution u in $E^s_{p,r}(T)$, where*

$$E^s_{p,r}(T) \triangleq \begin{cases} C([0,T);B^s_{p,r}) \cap C^1([0,T);B^{s-1}_{p,r}), & if\ r < \infty, \\ C_w([0,T);B^s_{p,\infty}) \cap C^{0,1}([0,T);B^{s-1}_{p,\infty}), & if\ r = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Moveover the solution depends continuously on the initial data u_0.

From [45], for every $t > 0$, we have that
(1) $u(t,\cdot) - u_{xx}(t,\cdot) \le 0$ and $|u_x(t,\cdot)| \le u(t,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}$.
(2) $\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|m(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|m_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$.
(3) $\|u_x(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le \|m_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$.

Lemma 2.2. *[40] Let $T > 0$. If*

$$f, g \in L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R})) \quad and \quad \frac{df}{dt},\ \frac{dg}{dt} \in L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

then f, g are a.e. equal to a function continuous from [0,T] into $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$< f(t); g(t) > - < f(s); g(s) >= \int_s^t < \frac{df(\tau)}{d\tau}, g(\tau) > d\tau + \int_s^t < \frac{dg(\tau)}{d\tau}, f(\tau) > d\tau$$

for all $s, t \in [0, T]$.

In this paper, we will define $\{\rho_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ by the mollifiers

$$\rho_n(x) := n\rho(nx)\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(\xi)d\xi\bigg)^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ n \geq 1,$$

where $\rho \in C_c^\infty$ is denoted by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{x^2-1}}, & |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Then, we recall several useful approximation results.

Lemma 2.3. *[17] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be uniformly continuous and bounded. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$[\rho_n * (f\mu) - (\rho_n * (f))(\rho_n * (\mu))] \to 0 \quad in \quad L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Lemma 2.4. *[17] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be uniformly continuous and bounded. If $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$[\rho_n * (f\mu) - (\rho_n * (f))(\rho_n * (\mu))] \to 0 \quad in \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Lemma 2.5. *[25, 29] Let $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$, with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then*

$$[\rho_n * (fg') - f(\rho_n * g)'] \to 0 \quad in \quad L^r(\mathbb{R}), \quad where \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *[41]Helly's theorem*

Assume F is a family of real functions defined on $[a, b]$. For any $f \in F$ satisfies $|f| \leq K$ and $V(f) \leq K$ with the some constant $K \geq 0$. Then there exists a family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset F$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(t) = g(t) \quad and \quad g(t) \in BV[a, b],$$

for any $t \in [a, b]$.

3 Global existence and blow-up phenomena

In this section, we begin by deriving the relationship between the Degasperis-Procesi equation and (1.1). Using this relationship, we then obtain the global existence results and the blow-up results for the system (1.1).

We can rewrite the Degasperis-Procesi equation as

$$(1 - \partial_{x^2})(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u}_t) = \partial_x(4 - \partial_x^2)(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{u})^2. \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to see that the Degasperis-Procesi equation transforms into the equation (1.1) under the transformation

$$\widetilde{u} \to 2(2 - \partial_x)u.$$

Applying the above relation and the theorems in [39], we can obtain that

Theorem 3.1. *Assume $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$. If $\widetilde{m_0} = 2(2-\partial_x)m_0 = 2(2-\partial_x)(u_0 - u_{0xx})$ does not change sign on $\mathbb{R}$, then (1.1) has a global strong solution $u = u(\cdot, u_0) \in C([0,\infty); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,\infty); H^{(s-1)}(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Then there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{m_0}(x) = 2(2-\partial_x)m_0 = 2(2-\partial_x)(u_0 - u_{0xx}) \le 0 \qquad & \text{if } \ x \le x_0, \\ \widetilde{m_0}(x) = 2(2-\partial_x)m_0 = 2(2-\partial_x)(u_0 - u_{0xx}) \ge 0 \qquad & \text{if } \ x \ge x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.1) has a unique global strong solution $u = u(\cdot, u_0) \in C([0,\infty); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,\infty); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Assume there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{m_0}(x) = 2(2-\partial_x)m_0 = 2(2-\partial_x)(u_0 - u_{0xx}) \ge 0 \qquad & \text{if } \ x \le x_0, \\ \widetilde{m_0}(x) = 2(2-\partial_x)m_0 = 2(2-\partial_x)(u_0 - u_{0xx}) \le 0 \qquad & \text{if } \ x \ge x_0. \end{aligned}$$

and m_0 changes sign. Then, the corresponding solution to (1.1) blows up in finite time.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Assume there is $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\widetilde{u}_0'(x_0) = 2\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u_0(x_0) < -\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{6}}{4}\left(\|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^\infty} + (2\sqrt{6}\|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 \ln(1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}) + \|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Then the corresponding solution to (1.1) blows up in finite time. Moreover, the maximal time of existence is estimated above by

$$\frac{(2\sqrt{6}\|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 \ln(1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}) + \|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^\infty}}{6\|\widetilde{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

4 Global weak solutions

In this section, we will investigate the existence and uniqueness of global weak solutions of (1.1) under some sign condition.

Theorem 4.1. *If $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $m_0 = u_0 - u_{0xx} \in \{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) | (2-\partial_x)m_0 \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})\}$. Then (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C_w(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ with initial data $u(0) = u_0$. Moveover the total variation of $(2-\partial_x)m(t,\cdot) = (2-\partial_x)[u(t,\cdot) - u_{xx}(t,\cdot)] \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u^2 + u_x^2)dx$ is conservation law.*

Proof. In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we proceed as the following five steps.

Step 1: We define a sequence of global solutions $u^n \in C([0,T]; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ of (1.1) with a suitable approximation of the initial data $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ by smooth functions u_0^n.

Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $m_0 = u_0 - u_{0xx} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $(2-\partial_x)m_0 = (2-\partial_x)u_0 - (2-\partial_x)u_{0xx} \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})$.

Define $u_0^n := \rho_n * u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \le 1$. Then we have

$$u_0^n \to u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that for $n \ge 1$,

$$\|(2-\partial_x)u^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|(2-\partial_x)m^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|(2-\partial_x)m_0^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\|u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|u_0^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(2-\partial_x)u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \|G*(2-\partial_x)m^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|G_x*(2-\partial_x)m^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\le \|G\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(2-\partial_x)m^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|G_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(2-\partial_x)m^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\le 2\|(2-\partial_x)m_0^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le 2\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}},\end{aligned}$$

$$(2-\partial_x)m_0^n = (2-\partial_x)u_0^n - (2-\partial_x)u_{0xx}^n = \rho_n * (2-\partial_x)m_0 \ge 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|u^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} =& \|u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|u_x^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& 3\|u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|(2-\partial_x)u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& 3\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \qquad (4.5)\\ \|\partial_t u^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} =& \|4u^n u_x^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|(u_x^n)^2\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ \|G*\{\partial_x[(2u_x^n)^2 + (6u^n)^2] + (u_x^n)^2\}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& 4\|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u_x^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 6\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ 3\|u_x^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|u_x^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u_x^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& 4\|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))} + 6\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ 3\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))} + \|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))}\\ \le& C_T(16\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}), \qquad (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t u_x^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} =& \|(4u^n - 2u_x^n)u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 2\|(u_x^n)^2\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 6\|(u^n)^2\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ \|G*\{(2u_x^n)^2 + (6u^n)^2 + \partial_x[(u_x^n)^2]\}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& \|4u^n - 2u_x^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u_{xx}^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 6\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ 3\|u_x^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u_x^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u_x^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ 6\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& \|4u^n - 2u_x^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))} + 6\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ &+ 3\|u^n\|^2_{L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))} + 2\|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))}\\ &+ 6\|u^n\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|u^n\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\ \le& C_T(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})})^2. \qquad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

Let u^n be the global solution of (1.1) guaranteed by Theorem 3.1 with initial data u_0^n.

Step 2: We show that the sequence defined by this procedure converges pointwise a.e. to a function $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ that satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions.

For fix any $T > 0$, from (4.5)-(4.7), we verify that $\{u^n\}_{n\ge1}$ and $\{u_x^n\}_{n\ge1}$ is uniformly bounded in the space $H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})$. Therefore we can get a subsequence such that

$$u^{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ weakly } \ \text{ in } \ H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R}) \ \text{ for } \ n_k \to \infty, \tag{4.8}$$

$$u_x^{n_k} \rightharpoonup u_x \ \text{ weakly } \ \text{ in } \ H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R}) \ \text{ for } \ n_k \to \infty, \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$u^{n_k} \to u \ \ a.e. \ \text{ on } \ (0;T)\times\mathbb{R} \ \text{ as } \ n_k \to \infty, \tag{4.10}$$

$$u_x^{n_k} \to u_x \ \ a.e. \ \text{on} \ \ (0;T)\times\mathbb{R} \ \ \text{as} \ \ n_k\to\infty, \tag{4.11}$$

for $u,\ u_x \in H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})$. For fixed $t\in(0,T)$, by (1.2), (4.2)–(4.7) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}] =& \|\partial_x^2(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|(2-\partial_x)u_{tx}^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
\le& \|\partial_x^2(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|4u^{n_k}[\partial_x^2(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ \|4\partial_x u^{n_k}[\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|2\partial_x^2 u_x^{n_k}[\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ \|2u_x^{n_k}[\partial_x^2(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|12u^{n_k}u_x^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ \|G_x\star[6(u^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + \|3(u_x^{n_k})^2+12(u^{n_k})^2\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ \|G\star[3(u_x^{n_k})^2+12(u^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
\le& \left[1+4\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^\infty((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u_x^{n_k}\|_{L^\infty((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\right]\|\partial_x^2(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ 36\|u^{n_k}\|^2_{H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}) + \|2\partial_x^2u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ \|4\partial_x u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
\le& \left[1+4\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^\infty((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^\infty((0;T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\right]\\
&\times\left[\|(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + (2-\partial_x)m^{n_k}\|_{L^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\right]\\
&+ 2\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\|(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))}\\
&+ 4\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\|(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0;T);H^1(\mathbb{R}))} + 36\|u^{n_k}\|^2_{H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})}\\
\le& \left[1+10\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 4\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}\right]\times 2T\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&+ 44\|u^{n_k}\|^2_{H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^{n_k}\|^2_{L^2((0,T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))} + 6\|u^{n_k}\|_{H^1((0;T)\times\mathbb{R}))}\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^2((0,T);H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\\
\le& C_T\left[1+10\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 5\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}\right]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\le \|u_x^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\le \|u^n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\le 5\|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore the sequence $\partial_x(2u^{n_k}-u_x^{n_k})\in BV((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$, which is the space of functions with bounded variation and $\mathbb{V}(f)$ is the total variation of $f\in BV((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$.

By Helly's theorem, $\partial_x(2u^{n_k}-u_x^{n_k})\in BV((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$ has a subsequence, denoted again $\partial_x(2u^{n_k}-u_x^{n_k})(\cdot,\cdot)$, which converges pointwise to some function $v(\cdot,\cdot)$ where $\mathbb{V}[v]\le C_T\Big[1+10\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}+5\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}\Big]^2$.

From (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\partial_x^2u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\to\partial_x^2u(t,\cdot) \ \ \text{in} \ \ \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}), \ \ \text{for almost all} \ t\in(0,T). \tag{4.13}$$

This enables that $v(\cdot,\cdot)=2u_x(\cdot,\cdot)-\partial_{xx}u(\cdot,\cdot)$ for a.e. $t\in(0,T)$.

Therefore

$$u_{xx}^{n_k} \to u_{xx},\ a.e.\ \text{on}\ (0,T)\times\mathbb{R} \quad \text{as}\ \ n_k\to\infty, \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}[\partial_x(2-\partial_x)u] = \|2u_x - u_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{M}} \le C_T\left[1+10\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 5\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}\right]^2, \tag{4.15}$$

for a.e. $t\in(0,T)$.

Fix $t\in(0,T)$ again, by Theorem 3.1, we obtain that the sequences $\{6(u^{n_k})^2+2(u_x^{n_k})^2\}$ and $(u_x^{n_k})^2$ are uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, there exists subsequences, denoted again $\{6(u^{n_k})^2+2(u_x^{n_k})^2\}$ and $(u_x^{n_k})^2$, which converge weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thank to (4.10) and (4.11), we deduce for a.e. $t\in(0,T)$

$$\{6[u^{n_k}]^2+2[u_x^{n_k}]^2\} \rightharpoonup 6u^2+2u_x^2 \quad \text{weakly in}\ \ L^2(\mathbb{R})\ \ \text{for}\ \ n_k\to\infty, \tag{4.16}$$

$$[u_x^{n_k}]^2 \rightharpoonup u_x^2 \quad \text{weakly in}\ \ L^2(\mathbb{R})\ \ \text{for}\ \ n_k\to\infty. \tag{4.17}$$

In view of $G,\ G_x\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain

$$G_x * \{6[u^{n_k}]^2+2[u_x^{n_k}]^2\} \to G_x*(6u^2+2u_x^2) \quad a.e.\ \text{on}\ (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}\ \ \text{as}\ \ n_k\to\infty, \tag{4.18}$$

$$G*[u_x^{n_k}]^2 \to G*[u_x^2] \quad a.e.\ \text{on}\ (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}\ \ \text{as}\ \ n_k\to\infty, \tag{4.19}$$

which along with (4.10)-(4.11) and (4.14), implies that u satisfies Eq. (1.1) in $\mathcal{D}'((0,T)\times\mathbb{R})$.

Step 3: We prove that $u\in C_w(\mathbb{R}_+;H^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Due to (4.7), we have an uniform bound on $\|u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and all n_k, which together with (1.2) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{n_k}(t,x)\varphi(x)dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_t^{n_k}(t,x)\varphi(x)dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(4u^{n_k}u_x^{n_k} + G*\{\partial_x[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2] + \partial_x^2(u_x^{n_k})^2\}\Big)\varphi dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\partial_x(u^{n_k})^2\varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G*\{\partial_x[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2]\}\varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G*[\partial_x^2(u_x^{n_k})^2]\varphi dx\\
=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(u^{n_k})^2\varphi_x dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} G*[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2]\varphi_x dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} G*[(u_x^{n_k})^2]\varphi_{xx}dx\\
\le&2\|(u^{n_k})^2\|_{H^1}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G\star[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2]\|_{H^1}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G*[(u_x^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^2}\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2}\\
\le&4\|u^{n_k}\|_{H^1}\|u^{n_k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G*[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^2}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}}\\
&+\|G_x*[2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^2}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G*[(u_x^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^2}\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2}\\
\le&4\|u^{n_k}\|_{H^1}^2\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G\|_{L^2}\|2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2\|_{L^1}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}}\\
&+\|G_x\|_{L^2}\|2(u_x^{n_k})^2+6(u^{n_k})^2\|_{L^1}\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|G\star[(u_x^{n_k})^2]\|_{L^2}\|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2}\\
\le&(12\|\varphi_x\|_{H^{-1}} + \|\varphi_{xx}\|_{L^2})\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2\\
\le&C\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(x)\in H^{-2}$. Hence the family $t\mapsto u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is weakly equicontinuous on $[0,T]$ for any $T>0$. Making use of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, implies that $\{u^{n_k}\}$ contains a subsequence, denoted

again by $\{u^{n_k}\}$, converging weakly in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, uniformly in t. The previous discussion concludes the fact that the limit function u is weakly continuous from $\mathbb{R}_+$ into $H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Because of the weakly convergence in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} &\le \liminf_{n_k\to\infty} \|u^{n_k}(t,\cdot)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} = \liminf_{n_k\to\infty} [3\|u_0^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|(2-\partial_x)m_0^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}] \\ &\le 3\|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}},\end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$, which along with Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_x^n(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\le \|u^n(t,\cdot)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\le 3\|u_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \\ \|u_{xx}^n(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\le 3\|u_x^n(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^n(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\le 3\|u_x^n(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|u^n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \\ &\le 6\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}} + 11\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad n \ge 1,\end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.14), leads to $u_x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ and $u_{xx} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$.

Step 4: We conclude that $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. In order to prove $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$, we need to prove that the functional $E(u(t)) = \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ is conserved in time.

Indeed, if this holds, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t) - u(s)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \|u(t)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|u(s)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} - 2\Big(u(t), u(s)\Big)_{H^1} \\ &= 2\|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} - 2\Big(u(t), u(s)\Big)_{H^1}, \quad t, s \ \in \mathbb{R}_+.\end{aligned}$$

The scalar product $(u(t), u(s))_{H^1}$ converges to $\|u(t)\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} = \|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$, as $s \to t$.

Thus, we get $\|u(t) - u(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$, as $s \to t$. That is $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

We prove the conservation of $E(u)$ in time by a regularization technique.

Since u satisfies (1.2) in distributional sense, it follows that for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\rho_n * u_t - 4\rho_n * (uu_x) - \rho_n * [G_x * (2u_x^2 + 6u^2) + \partial_x^2 G * (u_x^2)] = 0, \quad n > 1. \tag{4.20}$$

Taking an L^2 energy estimate with $\rho_n * u$ yields for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u)^2 dx - 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u)[\rho_n * (uu_x)]dx \\ &\qquad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u)\Big\{\rho_n * [G_x * (2u_x^2 + 6u^2) + \partial_x^2 G * (u_x^2)]\Big\}dx = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Differentiating (4.20) with respect to x, then taking L^2 inner production with $\rho_n * u_x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)^2 dx - 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_{n,x} * (uu_x)]dx \\ &\qquad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * \partial_x^2 G * (2u_x^2 + 6u^2) + \rho_{n,x} * \partial_x^2 G * (u_x^2)]dx = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_x^2(G * f) = G * f - f$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from $2u_x^2 + 6u^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $u_x^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)^2 dx - 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_{n,x} * (uu_x)]dx$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * G * (2u_x^2 + 6u^2)]dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * G_x * (u_x^2)]dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * (2u_x^2 + 6u^2) + \rho_{n,x} * (u_x^2)]dx = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}
$$

Combining (4.21) and (4.22) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\rho_n * u)^2 + (\rho_n * u_x)^2]dx =& -2\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * (u_x^2)]dx - 8\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * (u^2)]dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_{n,x} * (u_x^2)]dx + 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_{n,x} * (uu_x)]dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n * u_x - u_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n * u_x^2 - u_x^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n * u^2 - u^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n * u_{xx} - u_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n * u - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * (u^2)]dx \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.24}
$$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * u_x)[\rho_n * (u_x^2)]dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^3 dx \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.25}
$$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_{n,x} * (u_x^2)](\rho_n * u_x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_{n,xx} * u)(\rho_n * u_x^2)dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^2 u_{xx} dx = 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{4.26}
$$

for $u(t,\cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_{n,x} * (uu_x)](\rho_n * u_x)dx =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_{n,xx} * u)(\rho_n * u_x)(\rho_n * u)dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_{n,xx} * u][(\rho_n * u)(\rho_n * u_x) - \rho_n * (uu_x)]dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.5 with $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $u(t,) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|[\rho_n * (uu_x) - (\rho_n * u_x)(\rho_n * u)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
\le& \|\rho_n * (uu_x) - u(\rho_n * u_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&+ \|u\rho_n * (u_x) - (\rho_n * u_x)(\rho_n * u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
\le& \|\rho_n * (uu_x) - u(\rho_n * u_x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&+ \|\rho_n * u_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|u - \rho_n * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \to 0, \quad as \ \ n \to \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}
$$

which along with the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\rho_{n,xx} * u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le& \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
\le& \|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + 2\|2m_0 - m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}},
\end{aligned}
$$

implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_{n,xx} * u][(\rho_n * u)(\rho_n * u_x) - \rho_n * (uu_x)]dx \to 0 \quad as \ \ n \to \infty. \tag{4.29}
$$

On the other hand, an integration by parts leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho_{n,xx}*u](\rho_n*u_x)(\rho_n*u)dx=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n*u_x)^3dx\to-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_x)^3dx\quad as\ \ n\to\infty.$$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_n(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}}[(\rho_n*u)^2+(\rho_n*u_x)^2]dx,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+,\ \ n\le\ 1.\\
&G_n=-2\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n*u_x)[\rho_n*(u_x^2)]dx-8\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n*u_x)[\rho_n*(u^2)]dx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n*u_x)[\rho_{n,x}*(u_x^2)]dx+4\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n*u_x)[\rho_{n,x}*(uu_x)]dx,\ \ t\in\mathbb{R}_+,\ \ n\ge1.
\end{aligned}$$

The previous discussion enables us to get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_n(t)=G_n(t),\qquad n\ge1, \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$G_n(t)\ \to0,\ \ \text{uniformly in}\ \ t,\quad \text{as}\ \ n\to\infty, \tag{4.31}$$

for a.e. $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$.

Taking advantage of Lemma 2.2 and (4.30), we get

$$E_n(t)-E_n(0)=\int_0^t G_n(s)ds,\ \ t\in\mathbb{R}_+,\ \ n\ge1. \tag{4.32}$$

Making full use of Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we obtain the existence of a constant $K>0$ which only depends on $\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|2m_0-m_0'\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

$$|G_n(t)|\le K;\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+;\quad n\le1, \tag{4.33}$$

for $u,u_x\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R})$.

Combining with (4.30)-(4.33), by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we term to

$$\lim_{n\to0}[E_n(t)-E_n(0)]=0,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Therefore, by (4.1), we have

$$E(u(t))=\lim_{n\to0}E_n(t)=E(u_0),$$

for fix $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$,

This implies that $u\in C(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ and E is conserved along our solution.

In view of (1.1) and Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we have $u\in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

Step 5: Finally, we prove the uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.2). Let u *and* v be two weak solutions of (1.2) within the class $\{f\ \in\ C_w(\mathbb{R}_+;H^2(\mathbb{R}))\cap C(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1(\mathbb{R}))\cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ with the total variation of $f-f_{xx}\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}_+\}$.

Setting $M:=\sup_{t\ge0}\{\|u(t,\cdot)-u_{xx}(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}}+\|v(t,\cdot)-v_{xx}(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}}\}$, we have

$$|u(t,x)|=|G*[u-u_{xx}](x)|$$

$$\leq \|G\|_{L^\infty}\|[u-u_{xx}](t,\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{1}{2}M, \tag{4.34}$$

$$|u_x(t,x)| = |G_x * [u-u_{xx}](x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}M, \tag{4.35}$$

$$|u_{xx}(t,x)| = |(2u-u_x)(t,x)| + 2|u_x(t,x)| \leq \frac{5}{2}M, \tag{4.36}$$

for all $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Similarly,

$$|v(t,x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}M, \quad |v_x(t,x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}M, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.37}$$

Applying the fact $u - u_{xx},\ v - v_{xx} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G * (u-u_{xx})\|_{L^1} &= \sup_{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y)[G * (u-u_{xx})](y)dy \\
&= \sup_{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(y-x)d(u-u_{xx})(x)dy \\
&= \sup_{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G*\varphi)d(u-u_{xx})(x) \\
&= \sup_{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \|G*\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|u-u_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\
&\leq \sup_{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|u-u_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\
&= \|u-u_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain for $t \geq 1$

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1} = \|G * [u(t,\cdot) - u_{xx}(t,\cdot)]\|_{L^1} \leq M.$$

Similarly, we infer for $t \geq 1$

$$\|u_x(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1} = \|G_x * [u(t,\cdot) - u_{xx}(t,\cdot)]\|_{L^1} \leq M, \tag{4.38}$$

$$\|v(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1} = \|G * [v(t,\cdot) - v_{xx}(t,\cdot)]\|_{L^1} \leq M, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\|v_x(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1} = \|G_x * [v(t,\cdot) - v_{xx}(t,\cdot)]\|_{L^1} \leq M. \tag{4.40}$$

Let us define

$$w(t,x) := u(t,x) - v(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{cases} w_t(t,x) = & 4vw_x + 4u_x w - (u_x+v_x)w_x + 2G_x * [(u_x+v_x)w_x] \\ & +6G_x * [(u+v)w] + G * [(u_x+v_x)w_x], \quad t>0, \\ w(0,x) = & u_0(x) - v_0(x) = w_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{4.41}$$

We claim that $\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a decreasing C^2 function such that $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$; $\eta(s) = e^{-s}$ for $s \in [1, \infty]$ and η is a polynomial on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

For $R > 0$, we put

$$\eta_R(x) = \eta(\frac{|x|}{R}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.2, we have for all $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|(t,x)\eta_R(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|(0,x)\eta_R(x)dx \\ = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial |\rho_n * w_x|(s,x)}{\partial_s}\eta_R(x)dxds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

Notice that

$$\partial_t|\rho_n * w_x| = (\rho_n * w_{tx})sgn(\rho_n * w_x).$$

Then $t \mapsto \rho_n * w_{tx} = \rho_{n,x} * w$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, since

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\rho_n * w_{tx}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq &\|\rho_n * \partial_x\{4vw_x + 4u_xw - (u_x + v_x)w_x \\ &+ G_x * [2(u_x + v_x)w_x + 6(u + v)w] + G * [(u_x + v_x)w_x]\}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq &\|\rho_n * [(2v_x - 4v)(w - w_{xx})]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|[-2u_{xx} + 2(u_x + v_x)]w_x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|[4u_{xx} - 2v_x - 2v - 6u]w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|2G * [(u_x + v_x)w_x]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|6G * [(u + v)w]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|G_x * [(u_x + v_x)w_x]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq &\|2v_x - 4v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|w - w_{xx}\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})} + \|2(u_x + v_x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|w_x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|[-2u_{xx} + 2(u_x + v_x)]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|w_x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}\|[4u_{xx} - 2v_x - 2v - 6u]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ &+ \|6(u + v)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|u_x + v_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|w_x\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ \leq &34M^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Setting $R \to \infty$, applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, yields that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|(t,x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|(0,x)dx = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_s|\rho_n * w_x|(s,x)dxds.$$

Differentiating the above relation with respect to time, we propose that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * w_{tx})sgn(\rho_n * w_x)dx. \tag{4.44}$$

Similarly, we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * w_t)sgn(\rho_n * w)dx, \tag{4.45}$$

Therefore, convoluting ρ_n with w, by (4.41) and (4.45), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * w_t)sgn(\rho_n * w)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_n * (4vw_x)]sgn(\rho_n * w)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_n * (4u_xw)]sgn(\rho_n * w)dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x)w_x]\Big\}sgn(\rho_n * w)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{\rho_n * G * [(u_x + v_x)w_x]\Big\}sgn(\rho_n * w)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{\rho_n * G_x * [2(u_x + v_x)w_x + 6(u + v)w]\Big\}sgn(\rho_n * w)dx\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * (4vw_x)|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * (4u_x w)|dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * G * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * G_x * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx. \qquad (4.46)
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.46), respectively. Due to (4.34)-(4.40), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * (4vw_x)|dx \leq & 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * |vw_x|)dx \\
\leq & 4\|v\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_n * |w_x|)dx \\
\leq & 2M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx + 2M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx, \qquad (4.47) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * (4u_x w)|dx \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |4u_x w|dx \\
\leq & 4\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w|dx \\
\leq & 2M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx + 2M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w| - |\rho_n * w|\Big)dx, \qquad (4.48) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |(u_x+v_x)w_x|dx \\
\leq & \|u_x+v_x\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w_x|dx \\
\leq & M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx + M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx, \qquad (4.49) \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * G * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(y)G(x-z-y)[(u_x+v_x)w_x](z)|dydz\Big|dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $w = z + y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(y)G(x-z-y)[(u_x+v_x)w_x](z)dydz\Big|dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n(w-z)G(x-w)[(u_x+v_x)w_x](z)dwdz\Big|dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x](w)G(x-w)dy\Big|dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G * \{\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]\}|dx \\
&\leq \|G\|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx \\
&\leq \|u_x+v_x\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w_x|dx \\
&\leq M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx + M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx. \qquad (4.50)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same token with the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * G_x * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
\leq&\|G_x\|_{L^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
\leq&2\|u_x+v_x\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |w_x|dx + 6\|u+v\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |w|dx\\
\leq&2M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + 6M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx\\
&+2M\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx + 6M\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\rho_n * |w| - |\rho_n * w|\Big)dx. \qquad (4.51)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining (4.47)-(4.51) with (4.46), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx \leq 6M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + 8M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + Q_n(t). \qquad (4.52)$$

Here $Q_n(t)$ satisfies the follow two conditions:

$$\begin{cases} (1) \quad Q_n(t)\to 0 \ \text{ uniformly in } t, \ \text{ as } \ t\to\infty,\\ (2) \quad |Q_n(t)|\leq H, \ \ n\geq 1, \ \ t\in\mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \qquad (4.53)$$

where H only depends on M.

Then, convoluting $\rho_{n,x}$ with w, by (4.44) and differentiating (4.41) with respect to x, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx =& \int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n * w_{tx})sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{\rho_n * [w_x(4u_x + 4v_x - u_{xx} - v_{xx})]\}sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\{\rho_n * [(4v - u_x - v_x)w_{xx})]\}sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho_n * (4u_{xx}w)]sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n * G_x * [(u_x+v_x)w_x])sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_n * G_{xx} * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w])sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{5} I_i, \qquad (4.54)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big\{\rho_n * [w_x(4u_x + 4v_x - u_{xx} - v_{xx})]\Big\}sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx,\\
I_2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big\{\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)w_{xx})]\Big\}sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx,\\
I_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho_n * (4u_{xx}w)]sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ \rho_n * G_x * [(u_x + v_x)w_x] \Big\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx,$$
$$I_5 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ \rho_n * G_{xx} * [2(u_x + v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w] \Big\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx.$$

Due to (4.34)-(4.40), we find for all $n \geq 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * [w_x(4u_x + 4v_x - u - v)]| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{\rho_n * [w_x(u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})]\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
\leq & \|4u_x + 4v_x - u - v\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w_x| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| [\rho_n * (u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ \rho_n * [w_x(u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] - \rho_n * (w_x)[\rho_n * (u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] \Big\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
\leq & \|4u_x + 4v_x - u - v\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w_x| dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| [\rho_n * (u_{xx} + v_{xx})] dx + \|\rho_n * (u+v)\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \rho_n * [w_x(u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] - \rho_n * (w_x)[\rho_n * (u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] \Big| dx \\
\leq & 6M \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| dx + 5M \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| [\rho_n * (u_{xx} + v_{xx})] dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \rho_n * [w_x(u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] - \rho_n * (w_x)[\rho_n * (u - u_{xx} + v - v_{xx})] \Big| dx \\
\leq & 6M \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| dx + Q_n(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| [\rho_n * (u_{xx} + v_{xx})] dx, \qquad (4.55)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 = & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)(w - w_{xx})]\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)w]\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_n * (w - w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ \rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)(w - w_{xx})] \\
& - [\rho_n * (w - w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] \Big\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)w]\} sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
\leq & - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} |\rho_n * w_x|)[\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\rho_n * w][\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \rho_n * [(4v - u_x - v_x)(w - w_{xx})] dx - [\rho_n * (w - w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] \Big| dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)w]| dx \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x| [\rho_n * (u_{xx} + v_{xx} - 4v_x)] dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| \rho_n * [(u_x + v_x - 4v)(w - w_{xx})] - [\rho_n * (w - w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x + v_x - 4v)] \Big| dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho_n * w][\rho_n * (u_x+v_x-4v)]sgn(\rho_{n,x} * w)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |(u_x+v_x-4v)w|dx\\
\leq&\|\rho_n * (4v_x)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|[\rho_n * (u_{xx}+v_{xx})]dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x-4v)(w-w_{xx})]dx - [\rho_n * (w-w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x+v_x-4v)]\Big|dx\\
&+\|[\rho_n * (u_x+v_x-4v)]\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + \|(u_x+v_x-4v)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |w|dx\\
\leq&2M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + 6M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + 3M\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\rho_n * |w| - |\rho_n * w|\Big)dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x-4v)(w-w_{xx})]dx - [\rho_n * (w-w_{xx})][\rho_n * (u_x+v_x-4v)]\Big|dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|[\rho_n * (u_{xx}+v_{xx})]dx\\
\leq&2M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + 6M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|[\rho_n * (u_{xx}+v_{xx})]dx + Q_n(t), \qquad (4.56)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 \leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |4u_{xx}w|dx \leq 4\|u_{xx}\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |w|dx\\
\leq&10M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + 10M\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\rho_n * |w| - |\rho_n * w|\Big)dx\\
\leq&10M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w|dx + Q_n(t), \qquad (4.57)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $Q_n(t)$ in the class (4.53). By the same token with (4.50), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 \leq&\|G_x\|_{L^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * [(u_x+v_x)w_x]|dx\\
\leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}}[\rho_n * |(u_x+v_x)w_x||dx\\
\leq&\|u_x+v_x\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_n * |w_x|dx\\
\leq&M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + M\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx\\
\leq&M\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * w_x|dx + Q_n(t), \qquad (4.58)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $Q_n(t)$ in the class (4.53).

Noting that $G_{xx} * f = G * f - f$, by the same toke with (4.50), we easily infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_5 \leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * G_{xx} * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
\leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * G * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
\leq&\|G\|_{L^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho_n * [2(u_x+v_x)w_x + 6(u+v)w]|dx
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq 12\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |(u+v)w|dx + 4\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |(u_x+v_x)w_x|dx \\
&\leq 12\|u+v\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w|dx + 4\|u_x+v_x\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_n * |w_x|dx \\
&\leq 12M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx + 4M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx \\
&\quad + 12M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w| - |\rho_n * w|\Big)dx + 4M\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\rho_n * |w_x| - |\rho_n * w_x|\Big)dx \\
&\leq 4M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx + 12M\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx + Q_n(t), \qquad (4.59)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $Q_n(t)$ in the class (4.53).

Plugging (4.55)-(4.59) into (4.54), we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx \leq 15\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w_x|dx + 28\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_n * w|dx + Q_n(t), \qquad (4.60)
$$

with $Q_n(t)$ in the class (4.53), which along with (4.52). And applying to Gronwall's inequality yields for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\rho_n * w_x| + |\rho_n * w|)(t,x)dx &\leq \int_0^t e^{36M(t-s)}Q_n(s)ds \\
&\quad + [\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\rho_n * w_x| + |\rho_n * w|)(t,x)dx]e^{36M(t)}. \qquad (4.61)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $t > 0$, and let $n \to \infty$ in (4.61). Noticing that Q_n satisfies (4.53), and $w, w_x \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $p \in [1,\infty)$. An application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\rho_n * w_x| + |\rho_n * w|)(t,x)dx \leq [\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\rho_n * w_x| + |\rho_n * w|)(t,x)dx]e^{36M(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \qquad (4.62)
$$

This complete the proof of uniqueness.

□

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by NNSFC (No.11271382), RFDP (No. 20120171110014), MSTDF (No. 098/2013/A3), and Guangdong Special Support Program (No. 8-2015).

References

[1] G. M. Coclite and K. H. Karlsen, *On the well-posedness of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Func. Anal., **233** (2006), 60–91.

[2] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, *Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **183** (2007), 215–239.

[3] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, *Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Anal. Appl. (Singap.), **5** (2007), 1–27.

[4] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71** (1993), 1661–1664.

[5] R. Camassa, D. Holm and J. Hyman, *A new integrable shallow water equation*, Adv. Appl. Mech., **31** (1994), 1–33.

[6] A. Constantin, *The Hamiltonian structure of the Camassa-Holm equation*, Exposition. Math., **15(1)** (1997), 53–85.

[7] A. Constantin, *On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A, **457** (2001), 953–970.

[8] A. Constantin, *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **50** (2000), 321–362.

[9] A. Constantin, *The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves*, Invent. Math., **166** (2006), 523–535.

[10] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Global existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., **26** (1998), 303–328.

[11] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **51** (1998), 475–504.

[12] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations*, Acta Math., **181** (1998), 229–243.

[13] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Particle trajectories in solitary water waves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (2007), 423–431.

[14] A. Constantin and J. Escher, *Analyticity of periodic traveling free surface water waves with vorticity*, Ann. of Math., **173** (2011), 559–568.

[15] A. Constantin and D. Lannes, *The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **192** (2009), 165–186.

[16] A. Constantin and H. P. McKean, *A shallow water equation on the circle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **55** (1999), 949–982.

[17] A. Constantin and L. Molinet, *Global weak solutions for a shallow water equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **211** (2000), 45–61.

[18] A. Constantin, R. I. Ivanov and J. Lenells, *Inverse scatterering transform for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Nonlinearity, **23** (2010), 2559–2575.

[19] A. Constantin and W. A. Strauss, *Stability of peakons*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **53** (2000), 603–610.

[20] R. Danchin, *A few remarks on the Camassa-Holm equation*, Differential Integral Equations, **14** (2001), 953–988.

[21] R. Danchin, *A note on well-posedness for Camassa-Holm equation*, J. Differential Equations **192** (2003), 429-444.

[22] A. Degasperis, D. D. Holm, and A. N. W. Hone, *A new integral equation with peakon solutions*, Theor. Math. Phys., **133** (2002), 1463–1474.

[23] A. Degasperis, M. Procesi, *Asymptotic integrabilityAsymptotic integrability // Symmetry and Perturbation Theory*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, **1(1)** (1999), 23-37.

[24] H. R. Dullin, G. A. Gottwald, and D. D. Holm, *On asymptotically equivalent shallow water wave equations*, Phys. D, **190** (2004), 1–14.

[25] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, vol. I. Incompressible Models*, Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., **vol. 3**, Clarendon, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

[26] J. Escher, Y. Liu and Z. Yin, *Global weak solutions and blow-up structure for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **241** (2006), 457–485.

[27] J. Escher, Y. Liu and Z. Yin, *Shock waves and blow-up phenomena for the periodic Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **56** (2007), 87–177.

[28] A. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, *Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformation and hereditary symmetries*, Phys. D, **4(1)** (1981/82), 47–66.

[29] C. Guan and Z. Yin,*Global weak solutions for a two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system*, J. Funct. Anal., **260** (2011), 1132C1154.

[30] G. Gui and Y. Liu, *On the Cauchy problem for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Quart. Appl. Math., **69**, 445-464, (2011).

[31] A. A. Himonas and C. Holliman, *The Cauchy problem for the Novikov equation*, Nonlinearity, **25** (2012), 449-479.

[32] A. N. W. Hone and J. Wang, *Integrable peakon equations with cubic nonlinearity*, J. Phys. A , **41** (2008), 372002, 10pp.

[33] S. Lai, *Global weak solutions to the Novikov equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **265** (2013), 520-544.

[34] J. Lenells, *Traveling wave solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **306** (2005), 72–82.

[35] Y. Liu and Z. Yin, *Global Existence and Blow-up Phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi Equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., **267** (2006), 801–820.

[36] Y. Liu and Z. Yin, *On the blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, **23** (2007), rnm117, 22 pp.

[37] H. Lundmark, *Formation and dynamics of shock waves in the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Nonlinear. Sci., **17** (2007), 169–198.

[38] H. Lundmark and J. Szmigielski, *Multi-peakon solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Inverse Prob., **19** (2003), 1241-1245.

[39] Y. Liu and Z. Yin, *Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., **267** (2006), 801C820.

[40] J. Malek, J. Necas, M. Rokyta and M. Ruzicka, *Weak and Measure-valued Solutions to Evolutionary PDEs*, London: Chapman & Hall, (1996), xii+317 pp..

[41] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, NewYork: Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., **26** (1961), 265pp.

[42] V. Novikov, *Generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation*, J. Phys. A, **42** (2009), 342002, 14pp.

[43] G. Rodríguez-Blanco, *On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A, **46** (2001), 309-327.

[44] J. F. Toland, *Stokes waves*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **7** (1996), 1–48.

[45] X. Tu and Z. Yin, *Local well-posedness and blow-up phenomena for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation with peakon solutions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A, **128** (2016), 1–19

[46] X. Tu and Z. Yin, *Blow-up phenomena and local well-posedness for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation in the critical Besov space*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, **128** (2015), 1–19.

[47] V. O. Vakhnenko and E. J. Parkes, *Periodic and solitary-wave solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **20** (2004), 1059–1073.

[48] X. Wu and Z. Yin, *Global weak solutions for the Novikov equation*, J. Phys. A, **44** (2011), 055202, 17pp.

[49] X. Wu and Z. Yin, *Well-posedness and global existence for the Novikov equation*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze. Serie V, **11** (2012), 707–727.

[50] X. Wu and Z. Yin, *A note on the Cauchy problem of the Novikov equation*, Appl. Anal., **92** (2013), 1116–1137.

[51] Z. Xin and P. Zhang, *On the weak solutions to a shallow water equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **53** (2000), 1411–1433.

[52] W. Yan, Y. Li and Y. Zhang, *The Cauchy problem for the integrable Novikov equation*, J. Differential Equations, **253** (2012), 298–318.

[53] W. Yan, Y. Li and Y. Zhang, *The Cauchy problem for the Novikov equation*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **20** (2013), 1157–1169.

[54] Z. Yin, *On the Cauchy problem for an integrable equation with peakon solutions*, Ill. J. Math., **47** (2003), 649–666.

[55] Z. Yin, *Global existence for a new periodic integrable equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **283** (2003), 129–139.

[56] Z. Yin, *Global weak solutions to a new periodic integrable equation with peakon solutions*, J. Funct. Anal., **212** (2004), 182–194.

[57] Z. Yin, *Global solutions to a new integrable equation with peakons*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **53** (2004), 1189–1210.